Flow Visualization at Cryogenic Conditions Using a Modified Pressure Sensitive Paint Approach
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A modification to the Pressure Sensitive Paint (PSP) method was used to visualize streamlines on a Blended Wing Body (BWB) model at full-scale flight Reynolds numbers. In order to achieve these conditions, the tests were carried out in the National Transonic Facility operating under cryogenic conditions in a nitrogen environment. Oxygen is required for conventional PSP measurements, and several tests have been successfully completed in nitrogen environments by injecting small amounts (typically < 3000 ppm) of oxygen into the flow. A similar technique was employed here, except that air was purged through pressure tap orifices already existent on the model surface, resulting in changes in the PSP wherever oxygen was present. The results agree quite well with predicted results obtained through computational fluid dynamics analysis (CFD), which show this to be a viable technique for visualizing flows without resorting to more invasive procedures such as oil flow or minitufts.

Nomenclature

\[ A, B = \text{PSP calibration coefficients with arbitrary pressure reference} \]
\[ M = \text{Mach number} \]
\[ P = \text{Pressure} \]
\[ K_{SV} = \text{Stern-Volmer constant} \]
\[ I = \text{Intensity of light emitted by PSP} \]
\[ \alpha = \text{Angle of Attack} \]
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I. Introduction

A modification of the Pressure Sensitive Paint (PSP) technique was used to visualize changes in flow characteristics around different nacelle configurations on a Blended Wing Body (BWB) model at full-scale flight Reynolds numbers. This test was conducted at the National Transonic Facility (NTF) at NASA Langley Research Center in Hampton, Virginia, and full-scale flight Reynolds numbers were achieved at cryogenic conditions. Because of the pristine conditions required for operation at cryogenic conditions, no tunnel seeding or introduction of any type of liquid is permissible. Thus, flow visualization techniques have been limited to fluorescent minitufts and focusing Schlieren, both of which do not address the need to determine flows in small regions of the model without changing the aerodynamics. Because of this, a modified PSP technique was used to visualize flow in these areas.

PSP and TSP allow for the accurate determination of pressure and temperature distributions over an aerodynamic surface and are based on an emitted optical signal from a luminescent coating. As originally developed, this technique was primarily useful for mean pressure measurements in high speed flows, but has since been adapted to low speed flows as well as measurements of fluctuating pressures. A number of review articles cover the topic in detail. PSP measurements exploit the oxygen sensitivity of luminescent probe molecules suspended in gas-permeable binder materials. In wind tunnel applications, the PSP (or TSP) is applied to the model by conventional paint spraying techniques. Light sources such as UV LED arrays are mounted external to the test section to illuminate the painted model and effect luminescence emission from the entrapped oxygen-sensitive molecules. For the majority of pressure paints, PSP emission occurs in the red or orange region of the visible spectrum (~580 - 650 nm). The intensity is inversely proportional to the amount of oxygen present such that brighter regions in the paint emission indicate lower concentration of oxygen relative to the darker regions. Scientific-grade CCD cameras with spectral band-pass filters to discriminate between the excitation (blue) and emission (orange) signals, capture the intensity image of the PSP-coated model surface, providing a means to recover global surface pressure distributions on test articles of interest. PSP measurement systems all employ a ratio of image pairs to compensate for intensity non-uniformity due to sources other than oxygen concentration, the most significant of which are paint application and illumination heterogeneity. In the conventional approach, PSP images acquired either prior to or immediately following tunnel operation (wind-off) are ratioed with images acquired at each tunnel condition (wind-on).

If the test surface under study is immersed in an atmosphere containing O₂ (e.g. air), the recovered luminescence intensity can be described by the Stern-Volmer relationship

\[ \frac{I_0}{I} = 1 + K_{SV} P_{O_2} \]  

where \( I_0 \) is the luminescence intensity in the absence of O₂ (i.e. vacuum), \( I \) is the luminescence intensity at some partial pressure of oxygen \( P_{O_2} \), and \( K_{SV} \) is the Stern-Volmer constant. The value of \( K_{SV} \) depends on the properties of both the luminescent molecules and the binder, and is generally temperature dependent. Since it is a practical impossibility to measure \( I_0 \) in a wind tunnel application, a modified form of the Stern-Volmer equation is typically used. This form replaces the vacuum calibration (\( I_0 \)) with a reference standard.
where \( I_{\text{REF}} \) is the recovered luminescence intensity at a reference pressure, \( P_{\text{REF}} \). \( A \) and \( B \) are temperature dependent constants for a given PSP formulation and are usually determined before hand using laboratory calibration procedures.

PSP measurements are difficult to make under cryogenic conditions for two reasons. First, the test gas is typically nitrogen, refrigerant, or some other medium which typically contains little or no oxygen. Second, the diffusion of oxygen into the paint binder is highly temperature dependent, and at low temperatures, is practically nonexistent. As such, it is not surprising that initial cryogenic testing with luminescent paints used TSP. Successful cryogenic PSP measurements have been conducted at The NASA Langley 0.3-Meter Transonic Cryogenic Tunnel as well as other facilities using a PSP binder that has a very large diffusion rate and bleeding in known amounts of oxygen into the flow stream.

For visualization of the streamlines on the BWB model, a modification of this technique was employed, similar to a method suggested by Peterson and Fitzgerald. Instead of injecting known concentrations of oxygen into the wind stream, air was purged from existing pressure port orifices on the model surface, causing a decrease in the luminescence of the PSP. As the pressure ports are in distinct locations, and the ejected air interacts only with areas closest to the ports, this will give an indication of flow in these regions. Tests were carried out at the NASA Ames Research Center before the BWB test in order to verify the efficacy of this technique as well as to determine the magnitude of purging pressures required. These results as well as the results from the BWB model will be discussed.

II. Experimental

A. PSP Formulations

All PSP formulations used in the current study consisted of dissolving an oxygen sensitive luminophore, Pt(TfPP), in an oxygen-permeable binder. For the verification tests at NASA Ames, which was conducted at room temperature, the oxygen-permeable binder is a FIB co-polymer developed at the University of Washington and commercially available from Innovative Scientific Solutions, Inc. (ISSI). This binder was chosen due to its high oxygen sensitivity and low temperature sensitivity. During the BWB test at the NTF, the oxygen permeable binder used was PTMSP, chosen because it is a glassy polymer with a large free volume and having a low diffusion barrier to oxygen, leading to high oxygen permeability even at cryogenic conditions.

B. Verification Test at NASA Ames

Verification tests for this modified PSP technique were conducted in two small tunnels at the NASA Ames Research Center. The PSP research wind tunnel is a small closed return wind tunnel, with the test section arranged vertically above the drive fan. The drive fan is powered by a 75 kW electric motor, which is located within the fan housing. The motor/fan unit rests on flexible mounts which isolate it from the rest of the wind tunnel structure to minimize vibration. The tunnel itself is built of fiberglass with a metal frame. A radiator installed upstream of the contraction section circulates refrigerated water to cool the flow. The PSP tunnel can be operated up to speeds of 120 m/s (M = 0.35).

The test section measures 30.5 cm x 30.5 cm x 61 cm. The sidewalls are made of UV-transparent plexiglass, while the top and bottom walls are aluminum. The top and bottom walls have cutouts for model-mounting hardware. All non-transparent parts of the test section are painted flat black to reduce extraneous reflections.

The PSP tunnel is fairly well sealed while running, and as a result can be operated with nitrogen as the tunnel working fluid. The tunnel has two valves, one in the settling chamber upstream of the contraction section, and one in the diffuser upstream of the drive fan, which can be opened to mix in outside gasses. Nitrogen operation was accomplished by connecting the diffuser valve to a nitrogen gas cylinder, while opening the contraction section valve. The contraction section sees higher than atmospheric pressure, and so gas escapes from the tunnel. By releasing the contents of the gas cylinder into the flow, the oxygen concentration in the tunnel could be reduced from 20% to 5%. Oxygen concentration was measured with an Advanced Micro Instruments Model 70 oxygen...
meter. Because the tunnel leakage rate increases with tunnel speed, continuous low O₂ operation could only be achieved at low speeds (M <= 0.2).

The model used for the verification tests is a 15.2 cm span, 10.2 cm chord, 20° swept wing with a NACA 0012 airfoil profile. The model is designed to be mounted so that it protrudes directly from the wind tunnel sidewall into the flow. The wing is equipped with 41 pressure tap orifices in three chordwise rows. Plastic tubing was connected to a homemade manifold to allow purging of gas through the orifices. A urethane basecoat (from ISSI) was first painted onto the model. The basecoat serves as an optically uniform, chemically benign surface for the PSP to adhere. Before final coating with the PSP, the basecoat was wet-sanded with 5 µm sandpaper to reduce the mean surface roughness from 0.6 µm to 0.24 µm. The sanding step reduces variation in the reflectivity of the basecoat, thus reducing spurious signal that would otherwise arise when images taken with the model in slightly different positions are ratioed. Illumination of the paint was accomplished using custom-built lamps based on 405 nm LED arrays. Images were collected using a 14-bit slow-scan back-illuminated scientific-grade CCD camera (Roper Scientific).

Because the Ames PSP tunnel could not achieve Mach numbers comparable to those planned for the NTF test, verification tests were repeated in a higher speed facility. The second facility was an indraft tunnel capable of operating at up to M=0.55. This tunnel had a test section size of 35.6 × 35.6 × 121.9 cm; sufficient to accommodate the NACA 0012 model used in the first phase of verification testing. The tunnel test section consists of an aluminum frame with removable top, bottom, and side walls made of UV-transparent plexiglass. For this test the bottom wall was machined with a cutout to allow mounting of the NACA 0012 model. Ahead of the test section a fiberglass contraction section allows for smooth acceleration of room air through a 9:1 contraction. A honeycomb and set of screens at the start of the contraction section provide flow straightening and turbulence reduction. Behind the test section is a vibration isolation mount, a flapper valve, a square-to-round transition section, and a ball valve. The ball valve isolates the test section from a plenum chamber which is pulled down to a pressure of 52 kPa (absolute) by a 6.7 MW industrial compressor. The compressor and plenum chamber serve several other indraft tunnels. During tunnel operation the ball valve is set fully open, and tunnel speed is controlled using the flapper valve. At lower speeds, flow through the flapper valve is choked and disturbances in the plenum chamber cannot pass upstream. For the present test the flapper valve was fully open. Although this degrades flow quality, it was judged more desirable to have the highest possible Mach number. The indraft tunnel is installed in a large room which can be optically isolated from the rest of the building, allowing PSP testing to be accomplished with room lights off and without optical interference from light entering in through the contraction section.

C. BWB Test at the NTF

The NTF is one of the world’s leading facilities for providing high quality flight Reynolds number aeronautical data and has been operational since 1982. The tunnel has a test section of 2.5 meters x 2.5 meters and is capable of operating at speeds from subsonic (M = 0.1) to transonic (M = 1.1) with Reynolds numbers from 13.1 x 10⁶/m to 476 x 10⁶/m. While standard operation of the tunnel is performed using air as a test gas, the tunnel is also capable of operating at cryogenic conditions (down to -156 °C) by injecting liquid nitrogen into the tunnel circuit. In the cryogenic operating mode, the NTF is capable of providing full-scale flight Reynolds numbers without an increase in model size. Several optical diagnostic techniques are available in the NTF, including Video Fluorescent Minituft Flow Visualization, Sharp Focusing Schlieren Flow Visualization, Video Model Deformation, and a newly designed and installed PSP/TSP system.

The BWB model, a 2-percent scaled version of the 450-1L configuration, was designed to accommodate the testing goals of several research groups. In addition to the performance testing conducted under the Ultra-Efficient Engine Technology program, a stability and control test under the Efficient Aerodynamic Shapes and Integration Project in the Vehicle Systems Program is scheduled for 2005. In order to meet the goals of both programs, the model was made with many interchangeable parts. There are 4 primary configurations: a clean wing (no nacelles), pylon mounted nacelles, and the baseline and design BLI nacelles. The model has a total of 12 flaps that can be individually deflected. In addition, the wings can terminate in either a revolved wing tip or a winglet. Since the goal of this wind tunnel test was to verify the predicted performance improvements for the new design relative to the baseline, the model was designed to interface with a blade sting to minimize any impact on the flow in the nacelle region. The blade connects to the model via a strut block that can be changed to allow testing at various sideslip angles. During the performance testing in the current study, the yaw angle was held constant at zero degrees. The model and sting were made out of a maraging steel with a 0.05-0.1 µm surface finish. The model, including all the
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interchangeable parts, had over 400 pressure ports. Due to limited space within the model, only 4 electronically-scanned pressure (ESP) modules could be used. Consequently, only 248 pressure ports could be monitored at a time.

Previous cryogenic PSP\textsuperscript{14} and TSP\textsuperscript{21} tests have shown that highly polished stainless steel models must first be painted with a basecoat before the PSP will adhere to the model. For this test, Prime ‘N Seal 2610S (DuPont) was chosen as the basecoat. Previous tests have shown this paint to be very robust to cryogenic conditions. The Prime ‘N Seal basecoat must be cured. Small model pieces were oven cured for three hours at 60 °C while large pieces painted in the test section were exposed to heat lamps for a similar period. When upon occasion these curing procedures were not possible, pieces were air cured overnight at room temperature. After curing, the basecoat layer was sanded with 1500-2000 grit paper, which was needed to promote adhesion of the PSP layer. Finally, the PTMSP PSP was applied to the model, with a final thickness (basecoat and PSP layer) of approximately 50-75 µm. Illumination of the paint was accomplished using custom-built lamps based on 400 nm LEDs. Images were acquired using 16-bit slow-scan back-illuminated scientific-grade CCD cameras specially developed for cryogenic operating conditions.

Air was purged from the pressure port orifices through a custom-built manifold attached to a high pressure air source. To ensure the air was a moisture-free as possible, a sodium sulfate moisture trap was installed in the line before the manifold. Air pressure and dew point of air to the manifold was monitored using a precision electronic hygrometer and computer controlled solenoid valves were employed to allow for remote operation of the air purge.

III. Results and Discussion

A. Subscale Tests

Initial tests in the small wind tunnels at NASA Ames were used to verify the efficacy of this technique. In the PSP tunnel, two types of tests could be conducted. Nitrogen blowing through the ports could be used while the tunnel was operating in air model. Or, air blowing could be used when the tunnel was operating in “nitrogen” (actually 5% O\textsubscript{2}) mode. However, air blowing could only be used for M<=0.2 due to the tunnel leakage rate, and no operation above M=0.35 (the tunnel’s maximum speed) was possible. Furthermore, air blowing was accomplished by opening the pressure tap manifold to the atmosphere and relying on the pressure difference between the room and test section to generate flow through the taps. Thus, the effect of purge pressure could not be assessed. The indraft tunnel, in contrast, could be used for nitrogen purge only, but at speeds up to M=0.55. Whether nitrogen or air is used, the effect of purging is to produce contrasting streaks on the painted surface of the model. The streaks follow the streamlines, as the purge gas is convected downstream from the pressure port. In the case of nitrogen purge into air the streaks are bright, since the PSP responds to lower oxygen concentration by increasing brightness. In the case of air purge the streaks are dimmer. (And the overall brightness of the model is higher since it is operating in a lower oxygen environment.)
Figure 2. Resulting image from ratioing the purge-on image from Fig. 1 (a) to the purge-off image from Fig. 1 (b) showing the increased contrast of the streamlines caused by nitrogen interacting with the PSP on the model.

Initial testing at low speeds with nitrogen purge into air was carried out in the PSP tunnel. This was followed by tests using air purge into nitrogen. A comparison of images taken with the two techniques showed no difference in terms of the ability to visualize streamlines. Therefore all further testing was accomplished using nitrogen purge into air, since data at different speeds and different purge pressures could be obtained much more easily with this gas combination. Figure 1 depicts a typical image collected with nitrogen blowing through the ports and one without nitrogen at $\alpha = 5^\circ$ and $M = 0.55$. While the streamlines can be visualized when the nitrogen is being purged out of the ports (lighter “streaks” on the surface), the contrast is very poor. This result was seen irregardless of tunnel speed ($M = 0.1$ to 0.55) or nitrogen purge pressure (27.6 kPa (gauge) to 276 kPa (gauge)).

As detailed above, standard PSP measurements are conducted by ratioing a reference image with a run image (wind-off/wind-on). A slight modification of this procedure was applied to this data in order to increase the contrast for visualizing the effects of nitrogen on the surface. First, an image was taken without nitrogen purging through the ports (“purge-off”). Next, an image was taken with the nitrogen purge on (“purge-on”). The final step in data reduction is to ratio the purge-on image with the purge-off image, resulting in the image shown in Fig. 2. The contrast of the streamlines on the surface of the model is greatly improved, and it is readily apparent that the nitrogen remains attached to the surface over a large amount of chord. Several of the orifices on the model surface were clogged from previous testing; therefore nitrogen is only able to be purged out of relatively few ports (approximately 13). Furthermore, the manifold used in these experiments does not provide an even flow of nitrogen to the ports, resulting in some nitrogen traces that are more distinct than others.

The verification test was also used to determine both the optimum pressure of the purge gas as well as the effects of tunnel speed on this pressure. The results, shown in Fig. 3, show that when the purging pressure is only slightly above the pressure in the tunnel (27.6 – 82.7 kPa (gauge)), there is little effect on the nitrogen interaction with the surface. Furthermore, tunnel speed shows very little effect on the optimal purge pressure as well. However, when large purge pressures are employed, a significant degradation in the ability to visualize surface flows becomes apparent. As seen in Fig. 4, when purge pressures of 138 and 276 kPa (gauge) are used, the streamlines appear much broader and less distinct, especially at larger distances from the orifice. This suggests that the nitrogen jets are strong enough to penetrate the boundary layer, where they are convected downstream without affecting the $O_2$ concentration at the surface.

B. BWB Test at NTF

In order to transition this technique to the NTF wind tunnel operating at cryogenic conditions in a nitrogen environment, a different PSP formulation needed to be employed. The formulation chosen was based on PTMSP, a polymer known to have high oxygen permeability, even at low temperatures. A typical response curve of 200 ppm Pt(TFP) in PTMSP at -150 °C in an environment of 2000 ppm oxygen in nitrogen is shown in Fig. 5. These data
show that even at low temperature, the PTMSP binder has sufficient oxygen permeability to allow small oxygen concentrations to significantly quench the Pt(TfPP).

A data acquisition procedure similar to that used in the verification tests was also used to acquire flow visualization images on the BWB model in the NTF. However, since oxygen was purged into a nitrogen environment, the streamlines would appear as dark streaks instead of lighter streaks. Because of this, data analysis was accomplished by taking a ratio of the purge-off image to the purge-on image.

Figure 6 shows image ratios collected at room temperature (before the cool-down procedure began) at different tunnel speeds. These images were collected as a final verification of the technique as well as determining the expected change in signal with the introduction of air through the pressure ports. At the higher speeds (M = 0.85), a shock across the surface of the model is readily apparent. This is also illustrated by taking a plot of the pixel values across the shock location, as shown in Fig. 7. This shock visualization is most likely not due to a change in pressure, as the oxygen ejected through the ports will not have had time to dissipate across the model as is shown in Fig. 6. It is believed that this is due to a refractive index change caused by the shock itself, similar to data collected using Schlieren techniques.

These images show many interesting results, especially just forward and around the nacelle regions as shown in Figure 8. The nitrogen streamlines show that flow is very steady until just forward of the nacelles. In this region, the flow seems to choke (the streamlines become significantly shorter) just before it curves around the nacelle.
Furthermore, there seems to be evidence that the flow begins to reverse in the nacelle itself as it becomes completely choked.

The cool-down procedure for the NTF afforded ample opportunities to determine optimum data acquisition procedures, including purge pressures. Similar to the verification test, the best results were obtained when the purge pressure was limited to slightly above the tunnel pressure. It was also determined that a slight leak was present in an access hatch in the model. The effects of this leak with increasing purge pressure are shown in Fig. 9.

With many of the data acquisition and analysis procedures determined during the verification test and the cool-down process in the NTF, data were then acquired at full flight Reynolds numbers. These flight conditions were achieved by cooling the tunnel to -156 °C and running at a speed of $M = 0.85$. Typical image ratios for a specific model configuration at angles of attack of 3°, 4°, and 5° are shown in Fig. 10. It should be noted that these images were collected using a secondary camera as the primary camera suffered a malfunction just prior to data collection.

From these images, the shock location is readily apparent and its location as a function of $\alpha$ can be monitored. At the lowest $\alpha$ value (3°), the shock is forward of the viewing location. However at 4° and 5° $\alpha$ values, the shock location is clearly moving to the aft portion of the body. The resolution of the streamlines on the body itself has also suffered at the low temperatures used at these conditions. This is a result of the high purge pressures required (tunnel pressure was ~262 kPa (absolute), resulting in a purge pressure of ~310 kPa (absolute)) and orifice blocking caused by water freezing in the lines. The sodium sulfate filter was not adequate to reduce the water so that the dew point was below the tunnel operating temperature. Furthermore, several small portions of the PSP coating were removed due to the extreme cold and high velocity of the tunnel. The regions of the paint that were removed occurred only in regions where a model change had just occurred (in this case, the flaps were changed to a different configuration). In all cases of paint removal, the entire paint coating (PSP and basecoat) was removed. This effect has been encountered previously,$^{21}$ and for future tests, all model pieces will be painted separately or (if not practical) painting will not occur over seams that would be broken during model changes.

Figure 4. Effect of higher purge pressure on nitrogen streamlines. All images are ratios of purge-on to purge-off. a) $M = 0.2$, 138 kPa (gauge) purge pressure; b) $M = 0.2$, 276 kPa (gauge) purge pressure.
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Figure 5. Typical intensity response curve of 200 ppm Pt(TIPP) in PTMSP to increasing gas pressure. The gas used was 2000 ppm oxygen in nitrogen and data was collected at -150 °C.

![Figure 5](image)
Figure 6. Ratioed images of purge-off to purge-on for BWB model. Data was collected at room temperature (~24 °C) at \( \alpha = 4^\circ \). a) \( M = 0.2 \); b) \( M = 0.85 \).

Figure 7. Ratioed image showing the visualization of the shock location on the surface of the model. Data was collected at room temperature with \( M = 0.85 \) and \( \alpha = 4^\circ \). The inset shows the pixel intensity variation across the shock location defined by the line in the image.

C. Comparison with CFD Results

The experimental results were compared with computational fluid dynamics solutions. As described in Ref. 22, the USM3D flow solver\textsuperscript{23} coupled with the CDISC design method\textsuperscript{24} was used in the analysis and design of the BWB model. The USM3D code solves the Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes equations using a Roe upwind scheme on unstructured meshes. For the BWB design, a wall function option with the Spalart-Allmaras turbulence model was used to reduce the number of grid points required to resolve the boundary layer. The semi-span grid for the BWB with boundary-layer ingestion (BLI) nacelles contained about 4 million tetrahedral cells.

A sample comparison of the CFD results with the PSP flow visualization for the redesigned BLI configuration with the central flaperons deflected 5 degrees is shown in Fig. 11. Before addressing the results in the figure, two differences between the CFD and PSP cases should be noted. The first is that the flaperon deflection was modeled in the CFD by simply distorting the original wing grid, so that there are no gaps or vertical surfaces at the stream-wise
edges of the control surfaces. Second, although flow visualization data were acquired at the CFD conditions of Mach number of 0.85, angle of attack of about 4°, and Reynolds number of 75 x 10^6 (based on the mean aerodynamic chord), the PSP images obtained at warmer temperatures were much clearer. Since they were still at a fairly high Reynolds numbers (20 x 10^6) and the flow should thus be very similar to that at the CFD conditions, one of these images was selected for the figure.

Figure 8. Enhanced view of streamline behavior near the nacelles. Image collected at room temperature, α = 4°, and M = 0.85. The streamlines appear shorter near the inlet of the nacelle due to choking of the flow, subsequently causing the flow to divert around the nacelle.

Figure 9. Air leakage as a function of purge pressure through an access panel on the top of the BWB model. All images acquired at M = 0.12. a) 179 kPa (absolute) purge pressure; b) 214 kPa (absolute) purge pressure; c) 275 kPa (absolute) purge pressure; d) 317 kPa (absolute) purge pressure; and e) 351 kPa (absolute) purge pressure. The access hatch is outlined in each image.
Even with these differences, the predicted flow patterns match the PSP results fairly well, accurately representing the span-wise components of the flow associated with boundary layer separation in front of the nacelles and near the trailing edge of the flaperons between the nacelles. The predicted inward turning of the flow near the leading edge for the outermost row on the right is also confirmed by the wind tunnel data. In addition to the flow direction information, the PSP picture also reveals the location of the wing shock, running span-wise across the figure about 1 nacelle length ahead of the outboard nacelles. The shock is slightly ahead of the location predicted by CFD (blue-green line), which is consistent with the higher Reynolds number used in the computations.

Figure 10. Ratioed images showing streamlines as a function of $\alpha$ at -156 $^\circ$C and $M = 0.85$. a) $\alpha = 3^\circ$; b) $\alpha = 4^\circ$; and c) $\alpha = 5^\circ$.

Figure 11. Comparison of CFD solution with experimentally observed streamlines on the BWB model. a) CFD solution computed at $M = 0.85$, $\alpha = 4^\circ$, and Reynolds number of $75 \times 10^6$ (based on mean aerodynamic chord); b) experimentally observed streamlines at $M = 0.85$, $\alpha = 4^\circ$, and Reynolds number of $20 \times 10^6$. 
IV. Conclusions

This work has demonstrated a viable approach to performing flow visualization on a surface using a modified PSP approach. A flow visualization technique that did not require seeding or introduction of any foreign components into the tunnel was required because of the pristine conditions needed to run at cryogenic conditions. To satisfy this, a BWB model was painted with a cryogenic PSP formulation and air was ejected through several dozen pressure port orifices already present on the model surface. Validation of this technique was accomplished using a small wind tunnel and purging pressure ports with nitrogen, showing that optimum purging pressure was several kPa (<50) above tunnel operating pressure. Further experimentation showed that this did not significantly change with tunnel operating speed.

Results on a BWB model at the NTF showed streamlines that were to be expected, including choking and even flow reversal near the nacelle inlets. Furthermore, this technique has demonstrated the ability to monitor shock locations by the slight changes in the refractive index over the model. At cryogenic conditions, it was determined that greater care in painting near model seams needs to be done to ensure that paint is not damaged or removed at cold temperatures. Even very small amounts of moisture can freeze in the purge air lines thereby blocking them. To prevent this, purge air should be provided only when the model is at test conditions. Also, care must be exercised to provide a uniform distribution of purge air to the pressure taps through appropriate manifold techniques. Finally, the results were compared with predictions and showed excellent agreement.
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